arXiv:math/0703545v1 [math.PR] 19 Mar 2007

Hölder continuity of random processes

Witold Bednorz*†‡

Abstract

For a Young function φ and a Borel probability measure m on a compact metric space (T,d) the minorizing metric is defined by

$$\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) := \max\{\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(s,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon, \int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon\}.$$

In the paper we extend the result of Kwapien and Rosinski [2] relaxing the conditions on φ under which there exists a constant K such that

$$\mathbf{E}\sup_{s,t\in T}\varphi(\frac{|X(s)-X(t)|}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}) \leqslant 1,$$

for each separable process $X(t)$, $t\in T$ which satisfies $\sup_{s,t\in T}\mathbf{E}\varphi(\frac{|X(s)-f(t)|}{d(s,t)}) \leqslant 1$. In the case of $\varphi_p(x)\equiv x^p$, $p\geqslant 1$ we obtain the somewhat weaker results.

Key words: majorizing measures, minorizing metric, regularity of samples

2000 MSC: primary 60G17, secondary 60G60

1 Introduction

Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ its Borel σ-field. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}(X), \mathfrak{B}_b(X)$, $C(X), C_b(X)$ the set of all measurable, bounded measurable, continuous and bounded continuous functions respectively. Furthermore $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denotes the family of all Borel, probability measures on X. For each $\mu\in\mathcal{P}(X)$, $f\in\mathfrak{B}_b(X)$ and $A\in\mathcal{B}(X)$ we define

$$⨍_A f(u)\mu(du) := \frac{1}{\mu(A)}\int_A f(u)\mu(du),$$

where, we have used the convention $0/0=0$ (as we do throughout the whole paper). By $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ we denote the support of μ.

[1]Department of Mathematic, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

[2]Partially supported by the Funds of Grant MENiN 1 P03A 01229

[3]E-mail: wbednorz@mimuw.edu.pl

In the paper we consider finite Young functions; that is increasing convex functions $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\lim_{x\to\infty} \varphi(x) = \infty$. For a simplicity we will be assuming also that $\varphi(1) = 1$. As in ([3], Def. 5, page 40), we let $\triangle^2$ denote the set of all finite Young functions satisfying for some $c \geqslant 0, r > 1$

$$\varphi(x)^2 \leqslant \varphi(rx), \quad \text{for some for } x \geqslant c. \tag{$\triangle^2$}$$

and let ∇' (see [3], Def 7, page 28) denote the set of all finite Young functions φ verifying for some $c \geqslant 0, r > 1$

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(y) \leqslant \varphi(rxy), \quad \text{for } x, y \geqslant c. \tag{∇'}$$

Note that if $(\triangle^2)$, resp. (∇') holds for some $c > 0$, then $(\triangle^2)$, resp. (∇'), holds for every $c' > 0$ with appropriate choice of r'. If $h \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ we let

$$|h|_\varphi^\mu := \inf\{a > 0 : \int_X \varphi(\frac{|h(s)|}{a})\mu(ds) \leqslant 1\}, \quad \|h\|_\varphi^\mu := \inf_{a>0} a(1 + \int_X \varphi(\frac{|h(s)|}{a}))\mu(ds).$$

denote the two Orlicz norms of h. Then $|\cdot|_\varphi^\mu$ and $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\mu$ are semi-norms on $\mathfrak{B}(X)$, satisfying $|h|_\varphi^\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow \|h\|_\varphi^\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow h = 0$, μ-a.e. Note that $|h|_\infty^\mu < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a}) < \infty$ for some $0 < a < \infty \Leftrightarrow \|h\|_\varphi^\mu < \infty$ and recall that the Orlicz space $L^\varphi(\mu)$ is the set of all measurable functions satisfying one of the three equivalent conditions (see [3]). Then $(L^\varphi(\mu), |\cdot|_\varphi)$ is a complete semi-normed space. As we prove in Lemma 1 semi-norms $|\cdot|_\varphi^\mu$ and $\|\cdot\|_\varphi^\mu$ are comparable.

Let (T, d) be a fixed compact, metric space and m a fixed probability measure (defined on Borel subsets) on T. For $x \in T$ and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, $B(x,\varepsilon), B^\circ(x,\varepsilon)$ denote respectively the closed and the open ball with the center at x and the radius ε i.e. $B(x,\varepsilon) = \{y \in T : d(x,y) \leqslant \varepsilon\}$, $B^\circ(x,\varepsilon) = \{y \in T : d(x,y) < \varepsilon\}$. The diameter of T, i.e. $\sup\{d(s,t) : s,t \in T\}$ is denoted by $D(T)$. We define the minorizing metric

$$\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) := \max\{\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(s,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon, \int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon\} \text{ for } s,t \in T.$$

Kwapien and Rosinski [2] introduced these metrics to prove results on Hölder continuity of random processes with bounded increments. However their method requires that φ verifies $(\triangle^2)$ which means the exponential growth of φ. The goal of this paper is to obtain similar results, yet under relaxed conditions imposed on φ.

Theorem 1 *Let φ and ψ be Young functions (verifying $\varphi(1) = \psi(1) = 1$) and for some $R > 1$, $n_0 \geqslant 1$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+1})} \leqslant \frac{\varphi(R^{k-1})}{\varphi(R^k)}, \quad \textit{for } k \geqslant 1,\ k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\psi(R^{k+n_0})} < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Let $\psi_+(x) = (\psi(x) - 1)_+$ for all $x > 0$. Then there exists a Borel probability measure ν on $T \times T$ and a constant $0 < K < \infty$ only depending on (φ, ψ) such that for every continuous function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ there holds

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leqslant K |f^d|^{\nu}_{\psi_+} \tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t), \text{ for } s,t \in T, \text{ where } f^d(u,v) = \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}. \quad (3)$$

and if $\psi \in \nabla'$, then we have

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} \psi_+(\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{Kr\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}) \leqslant \int_{T \times T} \psi_+(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}) \nu(du, dv), \quad (4)$$

where r is chosen such that condition (∇') holds with $c = 1$.

Theorem 1 has an application to the stochastic analysis. We say that process $X(t)$, $t \in T$ has φ-bounded increments if it verifies

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} \mathbf{E} \varphi(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{d(s,t)}) \leqslant 1. \quad (5)$$

Corollary 1 *Suppose (φ, ψ) verify conditions (1) and (2). For each separable stochastic process $X(t)$, $t \in T$ which has ψ-bounded increments there holds*

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{2K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)} \leqslant 1$$

and if $\psi \in \nabla'$ then also

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{2K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}) \leqslant 1$$

where K is the same constant as in Theorem 1.

Proof. Following arguments from the proof of Theorem 2.3 in Talagrand [5] it is enough to prove the result assuming that $X(t)$, $t \in T$ has a.s. continuous samples. Theorem 1, namely (3) the Fubini theorem and the definition of $|\cdot|^{\nu}_{\psi_+}$ give

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)} \leqslant 1 + \mathbf{E} \int_{T \times T} \psi_+(\frac{|X(u) - X(v)|}{d(u,v)}) \nu(du, dv) \leqslant 2.$$

It proves the first thesis. If $\psi \in \nabla'$, then we can apply (4) instead of (3) obtaining

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}) \leqslant 1 + \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi_+(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant 1 + \mathbf{E} \int_{T \times T} \psi_+(\frac{|X(u) - X(v)|}{d(u,v)}) \nu(du, dv) \leqslant 2.$$

By the convexity of φ, we derive the second claim.

■

Remark 1 *Note that if* $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+\tau})} < \infty$, *for some* $R > 1, n_0 \geqslant 1$ *then we can take* $\psi \equiv \varphi$ *in Theorem 1. Thus all processes which verify (5) (for* φ*) are Hölder continuous with respect to* $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)$. *If* $\varphi(x) \equiv x^p$ *we can take* $\psi(x) \equiv x^{p+\varepsilon}$, *where* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and consequently obtain a generalization of basic Kolmogorov result [4].*

We then prove the converse statement that minorizing metrics are optimal when considering Hölder continuity of processes with bounded increments.

Theorem 2 *Assume* (φ, ψ) *verify for some* R, $n_0 \geqslant 1$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+n_0})} < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Suppose ρ *is a metric on* T *such that for each separable process* $X(t)$, $t \in T$ *which has* ψ*-bounded increments (verifies condition (5) for* ψ*), we have*

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{s,t\in T} \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{\rho(s,t)} < \infty) = 1,$$

then there exist a constant K *and a Borel probability measure* m *(which depends on* (φ, ψ) *only) such that* $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \leqslant K\rho(s,t)$.

Remark 2 *If* $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+n_0})} < \infty$ *then we can take* $\psi = \varphi$ *in Theorem 2. That means there exists* $m \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ *such* $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \leqslant K\rho(s,t)$ *for each* ρ *with respect to which all process with* φ*-bounded increments are Hölder continuous.*

We also prove some generalization of Talagrand's Theorem 4.2 [5] and the author's Theorem 1 in [1].

Theorem 3 *Assume that* φ *verifies (1) for some* $R > 1$. *There exist constants* C, K *(depending on* φ *only) and a Borel probability measure* ν *on* $T \times T$ *such that for each continuous function* f *on* T *the inequality holds*

$$\sup_{s,t\in T} \varphi_+(\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{C\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)\varphi_+^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)})}) \leqslant \int_{T\times T} \varphi_+(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)})\nu(du,dv),$$

where $\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi) := \int_T \int_0^{D(T)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon m(dt) < \infty$.

Corollary 2 *For each separable process* $X(t)$, $t \in T$ *which satisfies (5) (for* φ*) there holds*

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t\in T} \varphi(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{C\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)\varphi_+^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)})}) \leqslant 1.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 1 it is enough to show the result for $X(t)$, $t \in T$ with a.s. continuous samples. Note that $\varphi(x) \leqslant 1 + \varphi_+(x)$, thus due to Theorem 3 the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \varphi(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{C\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)\varphi_+^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)})}) \leqslant 1 + \int_{T\times T} \mathbf{E}\varphi(\frac{|X(u) - X(v)|}{d(u,v)})\nu(du,dv) \leqslant 2.$$

Now by the convexity we establish the result.

■

In the paper we follow methods from [1]. For a completeness we repeat from there some of the arguments.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Young functions

Lemma 1 *There holds* $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leqslant \|h\|_\varphi^\mu \leqslant 2|h|_\varphi^\mu$ *for every* $h \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.

Proof. First note either $\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a})d\mu \leqslant 1$ or $\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a})d\mu > 1$ and in this case using that $\alpha \to \alpha\varphi(\frac{x}{\alpha})$ is decreasing we derive

$$\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a})d\mu})d\mu \leqslant \frac{\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a})d\mu}{\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a})d\mu} = 1.$$

Consequently $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leqslant a + a\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{a})d\mu$ for all $a > 0$. That means $|h|_\varphi^\mu \leqslant \|h\|_\varphi^\mu$. The last inequality follows by taking $a = |h|_\varphi^\mu$ in the definition of $\|h\|_\varphi^\mu$.

■

Lemma 2 *Let* φ *be a Young function satisfying condition* (∇') *with* $c = 0$ *and* $r > 0$. *Then we have* $\varphi(\frac{1}{r}|h|_\varphi^\mu) \leqslant \int_S \varphi(|h|)d\mu$ *for every* $h \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.

Proof. If $\int_S \varphi(|h|)d\mu$ is either 0 or ∞, then the inequality holds trivially. Suppose that $0 < \int_X \varphi(|h|)d\mu < \infty$ and let us take $C > 0$ so that $\varphi(C) = \int_X \varphi(|h|)d\mu$. By (∇') property we have $\varphi(C)\varphi(\frac{x}{rC}) \leqslant \varphi(x)$ for all $x \geqslant 0$ and consequently

$$\int_X \varphi(\frac{|h|}{rC})d\mu \leqslant \frac{1}{\varphi(C)}\int_X \varphi(|h|)d\mu = 1.$$

Hence, we see that $\|h\|_\varphi^\mu \leqslant rC$ which proves the lemma.

■

Observe that for each Young function φ there holds

$$\frac{x}{y} \leqslant \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)}, \quad \text{for } \frac{x}{y} \geqslant 1. \tag{7}$$

Lemma 3 *If φ satisfies (1) then $\varphi \in \nabla'$ with $r = R^2$ and $c = 1$.*

Proof. By (1) we have

$$\frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\varphi(R^{k+1})} \leqslant \frac{\varphi(R^{k-1})}{\varphi(R^{k+1})}, \quad \text{for } k \geqslant 1,$$

Let $i, j \geqslant 0$ be such that $R^i \leqslant x < R^{i+1}$ and $R^j \leqslant y < R^{j+1}$. Clearly

$$\frac{\varphi(R^{i+1})}{\varphi(R^{i+1}R^{j+1})} = \frac{\varphi(R^{i+1}R^j)}{\varphi(R^{i+1}R^{j+1})} \cdots \frac{\varphi(R^{i+1})}{\varphi(R^{i+2})} \leqslant \frac{\varphi(R^j)}{\varphi(R^{j+1})} \cdots \frac{\varphi(R^0)}{\varphi(R^1)} = \frac{1}{\varphi(R^{j+1})}$$

and hence $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) \leqslant \varphi(R^{i+1})\varphi(R^{j+1}) \leqslant \varphi(R^{i+1}R^{j+1}) \leqslant \varphi(R^2xy)$.

■

The main construction

Fix any $R > 2$. For $k \geqslant 0$ and $x \in T$ we define $r_0(x) = D(T)$ and

$$r_k(x) := \min\{\varepsilon \geqslant 0 : \ \frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))} \leqslant \varphi(R^k)\}. \tag{8}$$

Let us notice that $r_k \leqslant D(T)$, for $k \geqslant 0$.

Lemma 4 *The functions r_k verify the Lipschitz condition with constant 1.*

Proof. Clearly r_0 is a constant function so it is 1-Lipschitz. For $k > 0$ and $s, t \in T$ it is

$$\frac{1}{m(B(s, r_k(t) + d(s,t))} \leqslant \varphi(R^k), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{m(B(t, r_k(s) + d(s,t))} \leqslant \varphi(R^k).$$

Hence $r_k(s) \leqslant r_k(t) + d(s,t)$, $r_k(t) \leqslant r_k(s) + d(s,t)$, thus r_k is 1-Lipschitz.

■

Lemma 4 gives that $r_k \in C(T)$.

Remark 3 *Note that if $r(x) := \lim_{k\to\infty} r_k(x)$, we have $r(x) = \inf\{\varepsilon \geqslant 0 : \ m(B(x, \varepsilon)) > 0\} = \operatorname{ess\,inf} d(x, \cdot)$ where the essential infimum is taken with respect to the probability measure m. In particular $r(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \operatorname{supp}(m)$.*

For each positive integer c we have

$$\frac{R-1}{R}\sum_{k\geqslant c} r_k(x)R^k \leqslant \sum_{k\geqslant c} r_k(x)(R^k - R^{k-1}) \leqslant \sum_{k\geqslant c}(r_k(x) - r_{k+1}(x))R^k +$$

$$+\limsup_{k\to\infty} r_{k+1}(x)R^{k+1} \leqslant \sum_{k\geqslant c}\int_{r_{k+1}(x)}^{r_k(x)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon +$$

$$+\limsup_{k\to\infty}\int_0^{r_{k+1}(x)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon = \int_0^{r_c(x)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k\geqslant c} r_k(x)R^k \leqslant \frac{R}{R-1}\int_0^{r_c(x)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon. \tag{9}$$

Let us abbreviate $B(x, r_k(x))$ by $B_k(x)$ and $B^\circ(x, r_k(x))$ by $B_k^\circ(x)$ for $k > 0$. For $k = 0$ we put $B_0^\circ(x) = B_0(x) = T$. Due to (8) it is clear that

$$\frac{1}{m(B_k(x))} \leqslant \varphi(R^k) \leqslant \frac{1}{m(B_k^\circ(x))}, \text{ for } k \geqslant 0. \tag{10}$$

For each $k \geqslant 0$ we define the linear operator $S_k : \mathfrak{B}_b(T) \to \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$ by the formula

$$S_k f(x) := \fint_{B_k(x)} f(u)m(du) = \frac{1}{m(B_k(x))}\int_{B_k(x)} f(u)m(du).$$

If $f, g \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$, $k \geqslant 0$, then we easily check that:

(i) $S_k 1 = 1$;

(ii) if $f \leqslant g$ then $S_k f \leqslant S_k g$ and hence $|S_k f| \leqslant S_k|f|$;

(iii) if $f \in C(T)$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} r_k(x) = 0$, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} S_k f(x) = f(x)$.

Fix $l \geqslant 0$. There exists unique $m_{x,k}^l \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ such that for each $f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$ we have

$$S_l S_{l-1}...S_k f(x) = \int_T f(u)m_{x,k}^l(du), \text{ for } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant l. \tag{11}$$

Let us define

$$r_k^l := \sum_{i=k}^{l} 2^{i-k} r_i, \quad B_k^l(x) := B(x, r_k^l(x)), \text{ for } k \leqslant l.$$

Lemma 5 *For each $u \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$ $0 \leqslant k < l$ we have $B_k(u) \subset B_k^l(x)$ and*

$$r_k(u) \leqslant r_k(x) + r_{k+1}^l(x) \leqslant r_k^l(x).$$

Proof. Fix $u \in B^l_{k+1}(x)$. Since r_k are 1-Lipschitz, we get

$$r_k(u) \leqslant r_k(x) + d(x,u) \leqslant r_k(x) + r^l_{k+1}(x) \leqslant r^l_k(x).$$

Clearly $r_k(u) \leqslant r_k(x) + r^l_{k+1}(x)$. Furthermore $d(x,u) \leqslant r^l_{k+1}(x)$, thus

$$B(u, r_k(u)) \subset B(u, r_k(x) + r^l_{k+1}(x)) \subset B(x, r_k(x) + 2r^l_{k+1}(x)) = B(x, r^l_k(x))$$

and by the definition $B_k(u) = B(u, r_k(u))$, $B^l_k(x) = B(x, r^l_k(x))$.

■

Lemma 6 *For all $0 \leqslant k \leqslant l$ we have $m^l_{x,k}(B^l_k(x)) = 1$ i.e. $\operatorname{supp}(m^l_{x,k}) \subset B^l_k(x)$.*

Proof. We prove Lemma 6 by the reverse induction on k. Clearly $\operatorname{supp}(m^l_{x,l}) = B(x, r_l(x)) = B^l_l(x)$. Suppose that for some $k < l$ we have $\operatorname{supp}(m^l_{x,k+1}) \subset B^l_{k+1}(x)$, then the definition gives

$$\int_T f(u) m^l_{x,k}(du) = \int_T \fint_{B_k(u)} f(v) m(dv) m^l_{x,k+1}(du), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T).$$

Due to Lemma 5 we have $B_k(u) \subset B^l_k(x)$, for $u \in B^l_{k+1}(x)$. It ends the proof.

■

Corollary 3 *For each $f \in \mathfrak{B}_b(T)$, and $k \leqslant l$ the inequality holds*

$$S_l S_{l-1} ... S_k |f|(x) = \int_T |f(u)| m^l_{x,k}(du) \leqslant \varphi(R^k) \int_{B^l_k(x)} |f(u)| m(du).$$

Proof. If $k = l$ the inequality is obvious. If $k < l$, using Lemma 6, and (10) we obtain

$$S_l S_{l-1} ... S_k |f|(x) = \int_T \fint_{B_k(u)} |f(v)| m(dv) m^l_{x,k+1}(du) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant \varphi(R^k) \int_T \int_{B^l_k(x)} |f(v)| m(dv) m^l_{x,k+1}(du) = \varphi(R^k) \int_{B^l_k(x)} |f(v)| m(dv).$$

■

Let us notice that for a positive integer c with $0 \leqslant c < l$ we have

$$\sum_{k=c}^{l-1} r^l_k R^k = \sum_{k=c}^{l-1} \sum_{i=k}^{l} (\frac{2}{R})^{i-k} r_i R^i \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\frac{2}{R})^j \sum_{i=c}^{l} r_i R^i = \frac{R}{R-2} \sum_{i=c}^{\infty} r_i R^i.$$

Together with (9) it gives

$$\sum_{k=c}^{l-1} r^l_k(x) R^k \leqslant \frac{R^2}{(R-1)(R-2)} \int_0^{r_c(x)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))}) d\varepsilon. \tag{12}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. We may assume that (1) and (2) hold with $R > 5$ (note that if (1) and (2) hold for some R then they hold also for R^l, where $l \in \mathbb{N}$). Fix $s, t \in T$, without losing the generality we may assume also $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) < \infty$, which implies that $\lim_{k\to\infty} r_k(x) = 0$, for $x = s, t$. If $d(s,t) < D(T)$ then there exist positive integers a, b such that

$$r_a(s) \leqslant d(s,t) < r_{a-1}(s), \;\; r_b(t) \leqslant d(s,t) < r_{b-1}(t),$$

and we can define $c := \max\{a, b\}$. If $d(s,t) = D(T) = r_0$, we put $c := 0$. For a fixed $l > c$ let us denote

$$\tau_x := \max\{k \geqslant 1 : \; B_k^l(s) \cup B_k^l(t) \subset B_{k-1}^\circ(u), \;\; \text{for all } u \in B_k^l(x)\}, \;\; x = s, t.$$

and $\tau := \min\{\tau_s, \tau_t\}$. Observe that $B_0^\circ(u) = T$, for all $u \in T$ so τ_x is well defined and clearly $1 \leqslant \tau \leqslant c$. For simplicity we put also $r_k^l(s,t) := r_k^l(s) + r_k^l(t)$ and $d_k(s,t) := \min\{r_k^l(s,t) + d(s,t), D(T)\}$. Note that

$$d_\tau(s,t) \leqslant r_{\tau-1}(u), \;\; \text{for all } u \in B_\tau^l(x) \;\text{ if }\; \tau = \tau_x. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 7 *The inequality holds*

$$d_\tau(s,t)R^\tau + \sum_{k=\tau}^{c} R^k r_k^l(s,t) \leqslant \frac{R}{R-5} R^c \left(\frac{3}{2} d(s,t) + 2r_c^l(s,t)\right).$$

Proof. Let $\tau \leqslant k < c$ be given and let x be either s or t. There exist $u_x \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$, $x = s, t$ such that $r_k(u_x) \leqslant d_k(s,t)$. Indeed, otherwise

$$B_{k+1}^l(s) \cup B_{k+1}^l(t) \subset B(u, d_{k+1}(s,t)) \subset B_k^\circ(u) \;\text{ for all } u \in B_{k+1}^l(t) \cup B_k^l(s)$$

which is impossible due to the definition of τ.

By Lemma 4 functions r_k are 1-Lipschitz, therefore

$$r_k(x) \leqslant r_k(u_x) + r_{k+1}^l(x) \leqslant d_{k+1}(s,t) + r_{k+1}^l(x), \;\; x = s, t.$$

Since $r_k^l = r_k + 2r_{k+1}^l$, we obtain $r_k^l(x) \leqslant d_{k+1}(s,t) + 3r_{k+1}^l(x)$. Consequently

$$r_k^l(s,t) \leqslant 2d_{k+1}(s,t) + 3r_{k+1}^l(s,t) = 2d(s,t) + 5r_{k+1}^l(s,t).$$

Iterating this inequality, we obtain the following result

$$r_k^l(s,t) \leqslant 2d(s,t) \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{c-k-1} 5^i + 5^{c-k} r_c^l(s,t) = \frac{d(s,t)}{2}(5^{c-k} - 1) + 5^{c-k} r_c^l(s,t) \tag{14}$$

for all $\tau \leqslant k \leqslant c$ (observe that inequality holds trivially for $k = c$). Hence, we have

$$\sum_{k=\tau}^{c} r_k^l(s,t) \leqslant (\frac{d(s,t)}{2} + r_c^l(s,t)) \sum_{k=\tau}^{c} R^k 5^{c-k} \leqslant \frac{R}{R-5} R^c (\frac{d(s,t)}{2} + r_c^l(s,t))$$

and by (14) we have (recall that $R > 5$)

$$d_\tau(s,t)R^\tau \leqslant R^\tau(d(s,t) + r_\tau^l(s,t)) \leqslant d(s,t)(1 + \tfrac{1}{2}(5^{c-\tau} - 1))R^\tau + 5^{c-\tau}R^\tau r_c^l(s,t) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant 5^{c-\tau}R^\tau(d(s,t) + r_c^l(s,t)) \leqslant R^c(d(s,t) + r_c^l(s,t)). \tag{15}$$

Since $\frac{R}{R-5} > 1$, we obtain the inequality.

■

We remind that $f^d(u,v) = \frac{|f(u)-f(v)|}{d(u,v)}$. For simplicity we denote

$$F_k := \{(u,v) \in T \times T : \quad f^d(u,v) \geqslant R^k\}, \quad k \geqslant 0.$$

Lemma 8 *If φ satisfies (1), then for each positive integer n and $f \in C(T)$ there holds*

$$|S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| \leqslant d_\tau(s,t)R^{\tau+n} + \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^{k+n} +$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} \varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} r_k(u) \fint_{B_k(u)} f^d(u,v) 1_{F_{k+n}} m(dv)m(du)) +$$
$$+ d_\tau(s,t)\varphi(R^{\tau+1}) \int_{B_\tau^l(y)} \fint_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u,v) 1_{F_{\tau+n}} m(dv)m(du)),$$

where $y = t$ if $\tau = \tau_t$ and $y = s$ if $\tau \neq \tau_t$.

Proof. Fix $f \in C(T)$. Without losing the generality generality we can assume that $\tau = \tau_t$. Clearly

$$S_l f(s) - S_l f(t) = \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} S_l ... S_{k+1}(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(s) -$$
$$- \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} S_l ... S_{k+1}(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(t) + (S_l ... S_\tau f(s) - S_l ... S_\tau f(t)). \tag{16}$$

We have also

$$|S_l ... S_{k+1}(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(x)| \leqslant \int_T |(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(u)| m_{x,k+1}^l(du), \tag{17}$$

Since $f^d(u,v) \leqslant R^{k+n} + f^d(u,v)1_{F_{k+n}}$, we obtain

$$|(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(u)| \leqslant \fint_{B_k(u)} |f(u) - f(v)|m(dv) \leqslant r_k(u) \fint_{B_k(u)} f^d(u,v)m(dv) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant r_k(u)R^{k+n} + r_k(u) \fint_{B_k(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv), \text{ for all } u \in T.$$

By Lemma 5, $r_k(u) \leqslant r_k^l(x)$, whenever $u \in B_{k+1}^l(x)$. This, (17) and Corollary 3 imply that

$$|S_l...S_{k+1}(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(x)| \leqslant \int_T |(\mathrm{Id} - S_k)f(u)|m_{x,k+1}^l(du) \leqslant r_k^l(x)R^{k+n} +$$
$$+ \int_T r_k(u) \fint_{B_k(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv)m_{x,k+1}^l(du) \leqslant r_k^l(x)R^{k+n} +$$
$$+\varphi(R^{k+1}) \int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} r_k(u) \fint_{B_k(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv)m_{x,k+1}^l(du). \tag{18}$$

To bound the last part in (16) let us observe that

$$|S_l...S_\tau f(s) - S_l...S_\tau f(t)| \leqslant \int_T \int_T |f(u) - S_\tau f(w)|m_{s,\tau+1}^l(dw)m_{t,\tau}^l(du). \tag{19}$$

By Lemma 6 $\mathrm{supp}(m_{x,k}^l) \subset B_k^l(x)$, $x \in T$. If $w \in B_{\tau+1}^l(s)$ and $u \in B_\tau^l(t)$, then

$$|f(u) - S_\tau f(w)| \leqslant \fint_{B_\tau(w)} |f(u) - f(v)|m(dv).$$

Lemma 5 implies that $B_\tau(w) \subset B_\tau^l(s)$. Hence for each $u \in B_\tau^l(t)$, $v \in B_\tau(w)$

$$d(u,v) \leqslant \min\{d(u,t) + d(t,s) + d(s,v), D(T)\} \leqslant d_\tau(s,t). \tag{20}$$

Applying (20) and $f^d(u,v) \leqslant R^{\tau+n} + f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}$ we obtain

$$|f(u) - S_\tau f(w)| \leqslant d_\tau(s,t) \fint_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u,v)m(dv) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant d_\tau(s,t)(R^{\tau+n} + \fint_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv)). \tag{21}$$

Since $\tau = \tau_t$ we have $B_\tau(w) \subset B_\tau^l(s) \subset B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)$ for all $w \in B_{\tau+1}^l(t)$. Together with (10) it implies

$$\fint_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv) \leqslant \varphi(R^\tau) \int_{B_\tau(w)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant \frac{\varphi(R^\tau)}{\varphi(R^{\tau-1})} \fint_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv). \tag{22}$$

The condition (1) gives $\frac{\varphi(R^{\tau})}{\varphi(R^{\tau-1})} \leqslant \frac{\varphi(R^{\tau+1})}{\varphi(R^{\tau})}$. Hence, due to (21) and (22) we obtain

$$|f(u) - S_{\tau}f(w)| \leqslant d_{\tau}(s,t)(R^{\tau+n} + \frac{\varphi(R^{\tau+1})}{\varphi(R^{\tau})} \int_{B^{\circ}_{\tau-1}(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv)). \tag{23}$$

Inequalities (19), (23) and Corollary 3 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S_l...S_{\tau}f(s) - S_l...S_{\tau}f(t)| \leqslant \\
&\leqslant d_{\tau}(s,t)(R^{\tau+n} + \frac{\varphi(R^{\tau+1})}{\varphi(R^{\tau})} \int_{B^{\circ}_{\tau-1}(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv)m^l_{t,\tau}(du)) \leqslant \\
&\leqslant d_{\tau}(s,t)(R^{\tau+n} + \varphi(R^{\tau+1}) \int_{B^l_{\tau}(t)} \int_{B^{\circ}_{\tau-1}(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+n}}m(dv)m(du)).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Note that (18) and (24) give the result

■

Lemma 9 *If* $A = \frac{4R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)(R-5)} + \frac{3R^2}{2(R-5)}$, *then we have*

$$d_{\tau}(s,t)R^{\tau} + \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r^l_k(x)R^k \leqslant A\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t).$$

Proof. Lemma 7 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{\tau}(s,t)R^{\tau} + \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}} \sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r^l_k(x)R^k = \sum_{k=\tau}^{c} r^l_k(s,t)R^k + \sum_{k=c+1}^{l-1} r^l_k(s,t)R^k \leqslant \\
&\leqslant \frac{R}{R-5}(\frac{3}{2}d(s,t) + 2\sum_{k=c}^{l-1} r^l_k(s,t)R^k).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $r_c(x) \leqslant d(s,t)$, $x \in \{s,t\}$, thus by (12) we obtain

$$2\sum_{k=c}^{l-1}(r^l_k(s) + r^l_k(t))R^k \leqslant \frac{4R^2}{(R-1)(R-2)} \max_{x\in\{s,t\}} \int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon.$$

Since $d(s,t) < \max\{r_{c-1}(s), r_{c-1}(t)\}$ if $c > 0$ and $d(s,t) = D(T)$ if $c = 0$, we have

$$R^{c-1} \leqslant \max_{x\in\{s,t\}} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,d(s,t))}).$$

It follows that

$$d(s,t)R^c \leqslant R \max_{x\in\{s,t\}} \int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}(\frac{1}{m(B(x,\varepsilon))})d\varepsilon.$$

Hence, due to the definition of $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)$ we deduce

$$d_\tau(s,t)R^\tau + \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^k \leqslant A\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t).$$

■

Lemma 5 implies $r_k(u) \leqslant r_k^l(x)$, for $u \in B_k^l(x)$. This observation together with Lemma 8 (with $n = n_0 + 1$) yields

$$|S_lf(s) - S_lf(t)| \leqslant d_\tau(s,t)R^{\tau+n_0+1} + \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^{k+n_0+1} +$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^{k+n_0+1}\varphi(R^{k+1})\int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)}\fint_{B_k(u)}\frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^{k+n}}1_{F_{k+n}}m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+d_\tau(s,t)R^{\tau+n_0+1}\varphi(R^{\tau+1})\int_{B_\tau^l(y)}\fint_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)}\frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^{\tau+n_0+1}}1_{F_{\tau+n_0+1}}m(dv)m(du).$$

By Lemma 9 we obtain

$$|S_lf(s) - S_lf(t)| \leqslant AR^{n_0+1}\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)(1 +$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi(R^{k+1})\int_T\fint_{B_k(u)}\frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^{k+n_0+1}}1_{F_{k+n_0+1}}m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi(R^{k+1})\int_T\fint_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)}\frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^{k+n_0+1}}1_{F_{k+n_0+1}}m(dv)m(du)). \tag{25}$$

For each $k \geqslant 0$ applying (7) (for ψ) we have

$$\frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^k}1_{F_k} \leqslant \frac{1}{\psi_+(R^k)}\psi_+(f^d(u,v)) \leqslant \frac{1}{\psi_+(R^k)}\psi_+(f^d(u,v)). \tag{26}$$

The right hand side of (25) does not depend on l, furthermore the property (iii) of S_l gives that $\lim_{l\to\infty} S_lf(x) = f(x)$, for $x \in \{s,t\}$. Hence combining (26) and (25) we obtain

$$\frac{|f(s)-f(t)|}{AR^{n_0+1}\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)} \leqslant 1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})}\int_T\fint_{B_k(u)}\psi_+(f^d(u,v))m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})}\int_T\fint_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)}\psi(f^d(u,v))1_{F_0}m(dv)m(du). \tag{27}$$

It remains to construct a suitable $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(T\times T)$. For each $g \in C(T\times T)$ we put

$$\nu(g) := \frac{1}{B}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})}(2\int_T\fint_{B_k(u)} g(u,v)m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\int_T\fint_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} g(u,v)m(dv)m(du)),$$

where B is such that $\nu(1)=1$. This constant exists due to (2), indeed

$$B=3\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi(R^{k+1})}{\psi_+(R^{k+n_0+1})}=3\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\psi(R^{k+n_0+1})-1}\leqslant$$
$$\leqslant\frac{3}{1-R^{-n_0-1}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varphi(R^k)}{\psi(R^{k+n_0+1})}<\infty,$$

where we have used that $\psi(x)\leqslant\psi_+(x)+1$ and $\psi(R^{k+n_0+1})-1\geqslant(1-R^{-n_0-1})\psi(R^{k+n_0+1})$ (by convexity). Plugging ν in (27) and then using homogeneity, we see

$$\frac{|f(s)-f(t)|}{ABR^{n_0+1}|f^d|^{\nu}_{\psi_+}\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}\leqslant 1+2\int_{T\times T}\psi_+(\frac{f^d(u,v)}{|f^d|^{\nu}_{\psi_+}})\nu(du,dv)\leqslant 3. \tag{28}$$

Thus we obtain (3) with $K=3ABR^{n_0+1}$. Suppose now that $\psi(x)\psi(y)\leqslant\psi(rxy)$ for all $x,y\geqslant 1$. Since $\psi(x)\geqslant\psi(1)=1$ for all $x\geqslant 1$, we have $\psi_+(x)\psi_+(y)\leqslant\psi_+(rxy)$ for all $x,y\geqslant 0$ and so we see that (4) follows from (3) and Lemma 2.

■

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. We give a proof which modifies the idea from the paper [2]. In the same way as Theorem 2.3 in [5] it can be proved that the existence of metric ρ on $T\times T$ such that for each separable process $X(t)$, $t\in T$ which satisfies (5) (for ψ) there holds

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{s,t\in T}\frac{|X(s)-X(t)|}{\rho(s,t)}<\infty)=1,$$

implies the existence of a constant K_0 and a continuous positive functional Λ on $C_b(T\times T\backslash\triangle)$ (where $\triangle:=\{(t,t):\ t\in T\}$) with $\Lambda(1)=1$ such that for each $f\in C(T)$

$$\sup_{s,t\in T}\frac{|f(s)-f(t)|}{K_0\rho(s,t)}\leqslant 1+\Lambda(\psi(f^d)), \tag{29}$$

where $f^d(u,v)=\frac{|f(u)-f(v)|}{d(u,v)}$. We define measure $m\in\mathcal{P}(T)$ by the requirement

$$\int_T g(t)m(dt)=\Lambda(\frac{g(u)+g(v)}{2}),\ \text{ for } g\in C(T). \tag{30}$$

Fix $s,t\in T$ and $l\in\mathbb{N}$. Let us denote

$$h_l(\varepsilon):=\begin{cases}R^{-n_0} & r_1(t)\leqslant\varepsilon\leqslant r_0(t)\\ R^{k-n_0} & r_{k+1}(t)\leqslant\varepsilon<r_k(t),\ \ 0<k\leqslant l\\ 0 & 0\leqslant\varepsilon\leqslant r_{l+1}(t),\end{cases}$$

where $r_k(x) = \min\{\varepsilon : \frac{1}{m(x,\varepsilon)} \leqslant \varphi(R^k)\}$, for $k \geqslant 0$ as in our main construction. Observe that h_l, $l \geqslant 1$ is an increasing family of functions, so $h := \lim_{l\to\infty} h_l$ is well defined. We denote $f_l(x) := \int_0^{d(t,x)} h_l(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon$ and observe that

$$\frac{|f_l(u) - f_l(v)|}{d(u,v)} \leqslant \frac{1}{|d(t,u) - d(t,v)|} \left| \int_{d(t,v)}^{d(t,u)} h_l(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon \right| = \left| \int_{d(t,v)}^{d(t,u)} h_l(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon \right|.$$

The Jensen's inequality gives

$$\psi\left(\frac{|f_l(u) - f_l(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right) \leqslant \left| \int_{d(t,v)}^{d(t,u)} \psi(h_l(\varepsilon))d\varepsilon \right| \leqslant \psi(h_l(d(t,u))) + \psi(h_l(d(t,v))),$$

thus by (30) we have

$$\Lambda(\psi(f_l^d)) \leqslant 2\int_T \psi(h_l(d(t,u)))m(du). \tag{31}$$

Using the definition of h_l and (10) we obtain

$$\int_T \psi(h_l(d(t,u)))m(du) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \psi(R^{k-n_0})m(B_k^\circ(t)\backslash B_{k+1}^\circ(t)) \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{\psi(R^{k-n_0})}{\varphi(R^k)}. \tag{32}$$

Applying (6) we derive $D := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(R^{k-n_0})}{\varphi(R^k)} < \infty$. Consequently (29), (31), (32) yield

$$\frac{\int_0^{d(s,t)} h_l(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon}{K_0\rho(s,t)} \leqslant 1 + \Lambda(\psi(f_l^d)) \leqslant 1 + 2D.$$

The right hand side does not depend on l, so

$$\frac{\int_0^{d(s,t)} h(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon}{K_0\rho(s,t)} \leqslant 1 + 2D. \tag{33}$$

The definition of h gives

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right) \leqslant R^{k+1} = R^{n_0+1}h(\varepsilon), \quad \text{for } r_{k+1}(t) \leqslant \varepsilon < r_k(t),$$

thus for $\delta \in [r_{k+1}(t), r_k(t))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$R^{-n_0-1} \int_{r_{k+1}(t)}^{\delta} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right)d\varepsilon \leqslant \int_{r_{k+1}(t)}^{\delta} h(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon$$

and hence due to (33) we obtain

$$\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t,\varepsilon))}\right)d\varepsilon \leqslant K\rho(s,t),$$

where $K = (1+2D)R^{n_0+1}K_0$. Similarly

$$\int_0^{d(s,t)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(s,\varepsilon))}\right)d\varepsilon \leqslant K\rho(s,t),$$

which means $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \leqslant K\rho(s,t)$.

■

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. Fix $R > 5$, $s, t \in T$ and $f \in C(T)$. We can assume that $\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) < \infty$ which implies $\lim_{k\to\infty} r_k(x) = 0$ for $x = s, t$. By Lemma 8 (with $n = 1$) and (13) we have

$$|S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| \leqslant d_\tau(s,t)R^{\tau+1} + \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1} r_k^l(x)R^{k+1} +$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=\tau}^{l-1}\varphi(R^{k+1})\int_{B_{k+1}^l(x)} r_k(u) \fint_{B_k(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{k+1}}m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\varphi(R^{\tau+1})\int_{B_\tau^l(y)} r_{\tau-1}(u) \fint_{B_{\tau-1}^\circ(u)} f^d(u,v)1_{F_{\tau+1}}m(dv)m(du),$$

where $y = t$ if $\tau = \tau_t$ and $y = s$ if $\tau \neq \tau_t$. By Lemma 9 we obtain

$$|S_l f(s) - S_l f(t)| \leqslant AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) +$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\{s,t\}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi(R^{k+1})\int_T r_k(u)R^{k+1} \fint_{B_k(u)} \frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^{k+1}}1_{F_{k+1}}m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi(R^{k+1})\int_T r_{k-1}(u)R^{k+1} \fint_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} \frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^{k+1}}1_{F_{k+1}}m(dv)m(du). \tag{34}$$

The condition (7) gives that for each $k \geqslant 0$

$$\frac{f^d(u,v)}{R^k}1_{F_k} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varphi_+(R^k)}\varphi(f^d(u,v))1_{F_k} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varphi_+(R^k)}\varphi_+(f^d(u,v)). \tag{35}$$

The right hand side of (34) does not depend on l thus we can take the limit on left-hand side which is $\lim_{l\to\infty} S_l f(x) = f(x)$, for all $x \in T$ (by property (iii) of S_l). Observe also that by the convexity $\varphi_+(R^{k+1}) - 1 \geqslant (1 - R^{-1})\varphi(R^{k+1})$. Consequently due to (34) and (35) we obtain

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)} \leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{1-R^{-1}}(2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_T r_k(u)R^{k+1} \fint_{B_k(u)} \varphi_+(f^d(u,v))m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_T r_{k-1}(u)R^{k+1} \fint_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} \varphi_+(f^d(u,v))m(dv)m(du)). \tag{36}$$

To construct a probability measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(T \times T)$ we put for each $g \in C(T \times T)$

$$\nu(g) := \frac{1}{M(1-R^{-1})}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2\int_T r_k(u)R^{k+1} \fint_{B_k(u)} g(u,v)m(dv)m(du) +$$
$$+\int_T r_{k-1}(u)R^{k+1} \fint_{B_{k-1}^\circ(u)} g(u,v)m(dv)m(du),$$

where M is such that $\nu(1) = 1$. Applying (9) and the definition $\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)$ we get

$$1 = \frac{1}{M(1-R^{-1})} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2 \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+1} m(du) + \int_T r_{k-1}(u) R^{k+1} m(du)) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant \frac{3}{M(1-R^{-1})} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u) R^{k+2} m(du) \leqslant \frac{3R^4}{M(R-1)^2} \mathcal{M}(m, \varphi).$$

Hence $M \leqslant B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)$, where $B = \frac{3R^4}{(R-1)^2}$. Plugging ν into (36) we obtain

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leqslant AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) + B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi) \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+(f^d(u,v)) \nu(du, dv).$$

By homogeneity we obtain for all $a > 0$

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{aR^2 |f^d|^{\nu}_{\varphi_+}} \leqslant AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) + B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi) \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+(\frac{f^d(u,v)}{aR^2 |f^d|^{\nu}_{\varphi_+}}) \nu(du, dv). \tag{37}$$

Due to Lemma 3 we know that $\varphi \in \nabla'$ with $r = R^2$ and $c = 1$, thus $\varphi_+ \in \nabla'$ with $c = 0$ and $r = R^2$. Consequently by (∇') we get

$$\varphi_+(a) \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+(\frac{f^d(u,v)}{aR^2 |f^d|^{\nu}_{\varphi_+}}) \nu(du, dv) \leqslant \int_{T \times T} \varphi_+(\frac{f^d(u,v)}{|f^d|^{\nu}_{\varphi_+}}) \nu(du, dv) = 1.$$

Using the above inequality in (37) we obtain

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{aR^2 |f^d|^{\nu}_{\varphi_+}} \leqslant AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) + \frac{B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{\varphi_+(a)}, \quad \text{for } a > 0.$$

We can obviously take a such that

$$\frac{B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{\varphi_+(a)} = AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t), \quad \text{i.e. } a = \varphi_+^{-1}(\frac{B\mathcal{M}(m, \varphi)}{AR\tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t)}),$$

thus denoting $K = ARB^{-1}$ we derive

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{2AR^3 \tau_{m,\varphi}(s,t) \varphi_+^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{M}(m,\varphi)}{K\tau_{\varphi,m}(s,t)})} \leqslant |f^d|^{\nu}_{\varphi_+}.$$

Lemma 2 gives the result with $C = 2AR^5$.

■

Acknowledgment I would like to thank professor Stanislaw Kwapien and the anonymous referee for numerous remarks which helped me to improve the paper.

References

[1] Bednorz, W. (2006). A theorem on majorizing measures. *Ann. Probab.* (to appear)

[2] Kwapien, S. and Rosinski, J. (2004). Sample Hölder continuity of stochastic processes and majorizing measures. *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications IV, Progr. in Probab.* **58**, 155–163. Birkhäuser, Basel.

[3] Rao, M.M and Ren, Z.D (1991). Theory of Orlicz spaces, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Bassel and Hong Kong.

[4] Slutsky, E. (1939). Quelques propositions sur la théorie des fonctions aléatoires. (Russian) *Acta [Trudy] Univ. Asiae Mediae. Ser. V-a.* **31**, 15 pp.

[5] Talagrand, M. (1990). Sample boundedness of stochastic processes under increment conditions. *Ann. Probab.* **18**, no. 1, 1–49.